Message

From: Marlene Tyner-Valencourt [tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com]

Sent: 2/23/2021 6:48:26 PM

To: Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US) [Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil]

CC: Nate Bello [bello@wra-ca.com]; Zimmerman, Jan@Waterboards [jan.zimmerman@waterboards.ca.gov]; Steinert,

Tiffany@Waterboards [Tiffany.Steinert@waterboards.ca.gov]; Gymer, Lisa@Wildlife [Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov];

Scianni, Melissa [Scianni.Melissa@epa.gov]; Gan, Janice@Wildlife [Janice.Gan@wildlife.ca.gov]

Subject: Re: Petersen Ranch spineflower amendment

Attachments: 2021-02-23_PRMB and SCMB 2021 IRT Review Items and Target Dates.xlsx

Hi Shannon,

We reviewed the IRT's request regarding the spineflower amendment materials in more detail and wanted to clarify the scope of your request. Please see our comments/questions in blue below.

- 1. Tracked changes version of all documents; There are tracked-changes versions of the documents uploaded to RIBITS, are these the documents you were looking for? If not, can you please clarify your request?
- 2. .kmz file for the spineflower area, as well as for all figures to clearly depict/outline the spineflower area; I will follow up with the kmz of the spineflower area asap. In the meantime, the figures in the amendment package show updated credit maps for Area F. All the figures show a blank area that represents the spineflower area being cut out of the credit map, as this area will no longer be able to generate credits for the mitigation bank to sell. Can you confirm that the IRT would like all the mitigation bank credit maps in this amendment package to be updated to include the boundary of the spineflower area as a feature and legend item?
- 3. Confirmation if any credits had been sold or not for the spineflower area; The spineflower area is located in Area F, which has not yet been incorporated into the operational Petersen Ranch Mitigation Bank there is no easement over Area F and it is not generating credits because it has not been established. Therefore no credits have been released or sold from Area F or the spineflower area.
- 4. Is there a specific date by which the Sponsor needs to complete the amendment? The original amendment request was submitted in December 2017. Land Veritas has since submitted another amendment request (the Caltrans sales agreement) and at least one other amendment request may be submitted in 2021. Therefore it will be helpful if this amendment could be processed as soon as possible to facilitate approval of other requests in a timely manner.

Regarding the "dates needed by" table request, please see attached. I will forward this separately to the Soquel Canyon IRT as well. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns about the dates listed and we can discuss further.

Thanks for your help, Marlene

On Fri, Feb 19, 2021 at 4:26 PM Marlene Tyner-Valencourt < tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com > wrote: Hi Shannon.

Thanks for your email. No problem at all -- we will gather the requested materials and question responses associated with the spineflower amendment and put together the Dates Needed By list next week.

Thanks and have a great weekend! Marlene

On Thu, Feb 18, 2021 at 5:14 PM Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US) <Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil> wrote:

Hello Nate and Marlene,

The IRT had met regarding the spineflower amendment for Petersen, and the following information would be helpful for us in continuing to review the request:

- 1. Tracked changes version of all documents;
- 2. .kmz file for the spineflower area, as well as for all figures to clearly depict/outline the spineflower area;
- 3. Confirmation if any credits had been sold or not for the spineflower area;
- 4. Is there a specific date by which the Sponsor needs to complete the amendment?

And although this isn't specific to just Petersen, it would be very helpful for the IRT if a list of "dates needed by" could be provided for all current amendment and credit release requests, for both the Soquel and Petersen banks.

All the best,

Shannon Pankratz

Senior Project Manager, Biologist

Regulatory Division, North Coast Branch, Los Angeles and San Bernardino Counties Section

Los Angeles District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

915 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 930

Los Angeles, California 90017

shannon.l.pankratz@usace.army.mil

Office: 213-452-3412

Government Mobile: 213-453-8586

IMPORTANT NOTE: During the Coronavirus Health Emergency, Regulatory Program staff are teleworking. Please do not mail hard copy documents to any Regulatory staff or office. For further details on corresponding with us, please view our COVID-19 special public notice at:

https://www.spl.usace.army.mil/Portals/17/docs/publicnotices/COVID19%20Regulatory_SPN.pdf?ver=2020-03-19-134532-833

Assist us in better serving you! Please complete our brief customer survey, located at the following link: http://corpsmapu.usace.army.mil/cm apex/f?p=regulatory survey

MARLENE TYNER-VALENCOURT, MESM | Conservation Project Manager | d: 858.682.2699 | o: 858.842.1800 x 2210 | c: 248.499.0805 | tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com

WRA, Inc. | www.wra-ca.com | 3033 5th Avenue, Suite 315, San Diego, CA 92103 | San Rafael | Emeryville | Petaluma | Fort Bragg | Denver

Our San Diego office has moved! Please note our new address.

MARLENE TYNER-VALENCOURT, MESM | Conservation Project Manager | d: 858.682.2699 | o: 858.842.1800 x 2210 | c: 248.499.0805 | tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com

WRA, Inc. | www.wra-ca.com | 3033 5th Avenue, Suite 315, San Diego, CA 92103 | San Rafael | Emeryville | Petaluma | Fort Bragg | Denver

Our San Diego office has moved! Please note our new address.